

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MICHAEL O'CALLAGHAN,  
  
Plaintiff,

3:12-CV-00201-BR  
  
OPINION AND ORDER

v.

CITY OF PORTLAND; MULTNOMAH  
COUNTY SHERIFF; WESTSIDE  
COMMUNITY COURT; JUDGE EVANS;  
JUDGE BLANK; CITY OF PORTLAND  
HEARINGS OFFICER GREGORY  
FRANK; DIRECTOR KURT NELSON,  
CITY PARKS; MARK PAMITER,  
PORTLAND POLICE; 3 JOHN DOE  
POLICE OFFICERS,

Defendants.

MICHAEL O'CALLAGHAN  
P.O. Box 3321  
Portland, OR 97208  
(503) 960-3787

Plaintiff, *Pro Se*

**JAMES H. VAN DYKE**

City Attorney

**JAMES G. RICE**

Deputy City Attorney

City of Portland

1221 S.W. Fourth Avenue

Room 430

Portland, OR 97204

(503) 823-4047

Attorneys for Defendants City of Portland, Kurt Nelson,  
Gregory Frank, and Mark Palmiter

**JENNY MORF**

Multnomah County Counsel

**DAVID N. BLANKFELD**

Assistant County Counsel

501 S.E. Hawthorne Boulevard

Suite 500

Portland, OR 97214

(503) 988-3138

Attorneys for Defendant Multnomah County Sheriff

**ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM**

Attorney General

**JESSICA L. MCKIE**

Assistant Attorney General

Oregon Department of Justice

1515 S.W. Fifth Ave, Suite 410

Portland, OR 97201

971-673-1880

Attorneys for Defendants Judge Steve Evans and Judge  
Harold Blank

**BROWN, Judge.**

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion  
(#61) for Recusal. For the reasons that follow, the Court **DENIES**  
Plaintiff's Motion.

### DISCUSSION

Plaintiff Michael O'Callaghan seeks an Order recusing the undersigned judicial officer from presiding over this action for failing "to reveal that she was a Multnomah County Judge for over seven years and she worked with defendants Stevens and Blank."

Sections 144 and 455 of Title 28 of the United States Code govern the recusal of a district judge. Under both statutes a district judge must recuse herself if a reasonable person with knowledge of all the facts would conclude the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned. *Liteky v. United States*, 114 S. Ct. 1147, 1150, 1154 (1994). See also *Taylor v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal.*, 993 F.2d 710, 712 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993), and *Denardo v. Municipality of Anchorage*, 974 F.2d 1200, 1201 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992). "To warrant recusal, judicial bias must stem from an extrajudicial source." *Taylor*, 993 F.2d at 712.

Here Plaintiff seeks the recusal of this judicial officer on the ground that she previously served as a Multnomah County Circuit Judge. The Court notes her prior state judicial service is a matter of public record, ended more than 13 years ago, and, in any event, did not involve any relationship with any Defendant that might justify questioning her impartiality in this matter. Plaintiff, moreover, does not provide any factual basis that warrants recusal. Finally, this Court has reviewed its Orders in this matter and notes for this record that its decisions were not

the product of personal bias against Plaintiff. In short, there is not any objectively reasonable basis to question the impartiality of this judicial officer.

Accordingly, the Court concludes Plaintiff has failed to make any showing that this judicial officer is personally biased against him, and, therefore, the Court denies Plaintiff's Motion to Recuse.

**CONCLUSION**

For these reasons, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion (#61) for Recusal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2013.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

---

ANNA J. BROWN  
United States District Judge